

REMARKS

Examiner stated that the specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 101

The Examiner stated that claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter and that claim 20 is rejected as they are directly and or indirectly depended on rejected claim(s).

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner stated that claims 1-18 are allowed and that upon proper overcome of the objection(s) and rejection(s) as discussed above, claims 19-20 would be allowed.

CONCLUSION

For the above reasons, the foregoing response places the Application in condition for allowance. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the rejection of the claims be withdrawn and full allowance granted. Should the Examiner have any further comments or suggestions, please contact the undersigned.

	Respectfully submitted,
	RG & ASSOCIATES
Dated: 05/15/2009	<u>/Raffi Gostanian/</u>
	Raffi Gostanian Reg. No. 42,595

RG & Associates
1103 Twin Creeks, Ste. 120
Allen, TX 75013
Phone: (972) 849-1310